Training Series #2 – 3: Trip Generation

By Jinghua Xu, Ph.D, PE

Fehr & Peers 10/18/2016



Today's Training Topics

- Understanding Trip Generation
- Basic Vehicle Trip Generation
- Mixed-Use Trip Generation
- Examples

Understanding Trip Generation

- Level of activity per unit at start/end of a trip
- Type (person, vehicle, auto, truck)
- Purpose (work, shop, school)
- Land use (house, room, employee, ksf)
- Time of day (daily, peak hour)
- Directional
 - Production and Attraction
 - Inbound and Outbound
 - \circ Internal
 - Primary, Pass by, Diverted

Land use developments





- office
- shopping
- schools
- houses

generate different types of activity quantity and purposes based on what and where they are



Highway and Transit Network

"The network"



Transportation system



- roadways
- transit stops and routes
- walkways and bikeways
- freight, toll, air/seaports

supply different accessibility, mobility, cost, and time options based on travel mode



Travel surveys and data





- household survey
- transit on-board survey
- vehicle counts & riders
- origin-destination

provide insight into decisions, preferences, and quantities of person, household, and vehicle travel





Land Use and activity



- live
- work
- play
- shop

decisions based on multiple factors, so we try to understand what they did and how they made the decision to forecast implications of near term or long term changes.



Land use accessibility



Trip Purpose

"Why"



When doing activities, first people evaluate what they want to do: the purpose of the trip (work, shop, drop-off kids, visit friends, etc.)

They consider the development around them that would meet their needs, then select a location

Travel Markets

"The Ds"



Demographics influencing choice



Two people with different demographics make trips at varying rates and for different reasons.

Accessibility to activity generators also influences the trip rate.



"Ps and As"



conducted in the travel model simultaneously for all the people in the study area



2010 California Regional Transportation Plan Guidelines



California Transportation Commission





GETTING TRIP GENERATION RIGH Eliminating the Bias Against Mixed Use Developme By Jerry Walters, Brian Sochner, and Reid Ewin AP American Planning Association Making Great Communities Happer

- ITE Trip Generation
 - Data assembled from more than 4,800 individual studies in United States and Canada since the 1960s
 - \circ $\,$ Mainly collected at suburban locations $\,$
 - With limited transit service
 - Without nearby pedestrian amenities
 - Without travel demand management (TDM) programs
 - Data received on "voluntary" basis

- Cautions to use ITE Trip Generation
 - $\circ~$ Data compiled over five decades
 - $\circ~$ Various geographical locations in the United States and Canada
 - Select the land use code that most closely fits intended use of the proposed development
 - $\circ~$ Various times of the year
 - Select appropriate time period
 - \circ $\,$ Various durations of data collection $\,$

- When to collect data for local study
 - ITE land use category is not available
 - Inadequate number of studies exist in ITE data
 - Size of site is outside range of ITE data points
 - To establish local trip generation rate
 - To validate *Trip Generation* data for local application
 - To supplement national database

- Cautions to use data collected specific to the local study
 - $\circ~$ Use the data carefully
 - $\circ~$ Understand how the data was collected
 - $\circ~$ Understand the sites surveyed within each land use
 - Pass-by and Internal Capture Trip Deductions
 - Weighted Averages vs. Regression Equations
 - THESE ARE ESTIMATES!

Individual Projects



- office
- shopping
- houses

trips crossing driveway trips within project site mode primary, pass by, diverted inbound, outbound time of day peak of street or peak of generator

Individual Projects – Pass by



- office
- shopping
- houses

trips crossing driveway trips within project site mode primary, pass by, diverted inbound, outbound time of day peak of street or peak of generator

Individual Projects – Diverted



office shopping houses

trips crossing driveway trips within project site mode primary, pass by, diverted inbound, outbound time of day peak of street or peak of generator

Individual Projects – Internal Trips



- office
- shopping
- houses

Limitations of Current Practice

Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units (On a Weekday)

Number of Studies: **350** Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: **197** Directional Distribution: **50% entering - 50% exiting**

Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit Average Rate: 9.57 | Range of Rates 4.31 to 21.85 | Standard Deviation 3.69

Data Plot and Equation



Shopping Center (820)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Leasable Area (On a Weekday)

Number of Studies: 302 Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 328 Directional Distribution: 50% entering - 50% exiting

Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Leasable Area Average Rate: 42.94 | Range of Rates 12.50 to 270.89 | Standard Devlation 21.38

Data Plot and Equation



General Office Building (710)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Floor Area (On a Weekday)

Number of Studies: 78 Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 199 Directional Distribution: 50% entering - 50% exiting

Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Floor Area Average Rate: 11.01 | Range of Rates 3.58 to 28.80 | Standard Devlation 6.13

Data Plot and Equation



MXD+ Tool has 7-Variable Sensitivity



The built environment



7DS That influence Trip Generation (and VMT)

Mixed-Use Trip Generation

- Can be estimated in a wide context, instead of the single project estimated by the ITE method.
- Gives credit to development for smart growth characteristics
- Sensitive to 7 of the 8 "D"s
- Sensitive to all common land use types
- More than 200 real sites' worth of data supporting it
- Can analyze daily and both peak hours
- At least as accurate as current ITE methods

ITE Mix-Used Method





MXD Method

- Developed based on research done for the EPA .
- More statistically valid and
 reliable to estimate trip
 internalization for mixed-use
 developments, compared to ITE
 method.





MXD+/MainStreet Can Prevent Oversizing Infrastructure



MXD+/MainStreet More Accurately Predicts Trip Generation



Travel Demand Management

- Transit passes, car\vanpool, company shuttle\bus
- Parking cashout, charge for parking
- Flextime, telecommute, remote offices
- Peak spreading

Examples

- Industries change over time due to technology (warehouse trip generation)
- Offices vary greatly (tech company)
- Details on SANDAG local calibration

Examples – Warehouse Trip Generation (Data Collection)

TABLE 1 STUDY INDUSTRIAL PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION RATES BY VEHICLE TYPE																									
	Daily Trip Rate ¹			Daily Trip Rate ¹ AM Peak Hour of the Generator ²				AM Peak Hour Rate ³			PM Peak Hour of the Generator ⁴				PM Peak Hour Rate ⁵										
				Ca	ars	Tru	ıcks		С	ars	Tru	icks		Ca	ars	Tru	cks		Ca	rs	Tru	cks			
Industrial Site	Cars	Truc ks	Total	In	Out	In	Out	Total	In	Out	In	Out	Total	In	Out	In	Out	Total	In	Out	In	Out	Total		
Site 1 (550,000 sf)	2 13	0.45	0.45 3.50	0.09	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.17	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.15	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.00	0.13	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.07		
Site 2 (511,718 sf)	2.15 0.45	2.13 0.4.	2.13	0.45	45 2.58	0.18	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.26	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.15	0.10	0.17	0.00	0.05	0.32	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.04	0.14
Site 3 (548,525 sf)	0.94	0.57	1.51	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.17	0.09	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.17		
Site 4 (2,832,464 sf)	2.76	0.65	3.42	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.19	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.18	0.07	0.14	0.02	0.02	0.25	0.05	0.12	0.03	0.02	0.22		
Weighted Average	2.22	0.61	2.98	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.17	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.14	0.06	0.12	0.02	0.02	0.22	0.05	0.09	0.02	0.02	0.18		

1. Daily trips per 1,000 square feet.

2. AM peak hour rate per 1,000 square feet between 5:00 and 7:00 AM

3. AM peak hour rate per 1,000 square feet between 7:00 and 9:00 AM.

4. PM peak hour rate per 1,000 square feet between 2:00 and 4:00 PM.

5. PM peak hour rate per 1,000 square feet between 4:00 and 6:00 PM.

Examples – Warehouse Trip Generation (Comparison)

TABLE 2 WAREHOUSE/DISTRIBUTION TRIP GENERATION RATE COMPARISON									
	Deily	A	M Peak Rate	1	PM Peak Rate ¹				
Land Use	Trip Rate	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total		
ITE Industrial Park (Land Use 130) ¹	6.96	0.69	0.15	0.84	0.18	0.68	0.86		
ITE Warehousing(Land Use 150) ¹	4.96	0.37	0.08	0.45	0.12	0.35	0.47		
City of Stockton Model – Industrial ¹	2.40	0.13	0.03	0.16	0.03	0.10	0.13		
City of Fontana – Heavy Warehouse ¹	3.55	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.05	0.07		
San Bernardino/Riverside County – Warehouse/Distribution Center ¹	1.10	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.08		
Study Data Collection ¹	2.98	0.09	0.05	0.14	0.07	0.11	0.18		

1. Trips per 1,000 square feet.

Source: ITE, Fehr & Peers, 2007, City of Fontana, 2003, Crain & Associates, 2005.

Examples - Office (picking the correct units and method)

General Office Building

(710)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Employees On a: Weekday

Number of Studies: 62 Avg. Number of Employees: 610 Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Trip Generation per Employee

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
3.32	1.59 - 7.28	2.16

Data Plot and Equation



3.32 Daily Trips per employee

General Office Building (710)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Floor Area On a: Weekday

Number of Studies: 78 Average 1000 Sq. Feet GFA: 199 Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Floor Area

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
11.01	3.58 - 28.80	6.13

Data Plot and Equation



11.01 Daily Trips per KSF

Examples – Office (picking the correct units and method)

Within data range

	Developr	nent				
	KSF	Employee/KSF	Employees			
Traditional Office	100	1	100			
Tech Company	100	3	300			
		Trip	S			
	Ave	erage Rate	ation	Diffe	rence	
	KSF	Employees	KSF	Employees	KSF	Employees
Executive Office	1,101	332	1,334	450	233	118
Tech Company	1,101	996	1,334	1,131	233	135
Difference	-	664	-	682		

Outside data range

	Develop					
	KSF	Employee/KSF	Employees			
Traditional Office	3000) 1	3000)		
Tech Company	3000) 3	9000)		
		Trip	S			
	Av	erage Rate	ation	Diffe	rence	
	KSF	Employees	KSF	Employees	KSF	Employees
Executive Office	33,030	9,960	18,305	7,827	(14,725)	(2,133)
Tech Company	33,030	29,880	18,305	19,696	(14,725)	(10,184)
Difference	-	19,920	-	11,869		

MXD Study – SANDAG SGOAs

- List of 57 SGOAs (Smart Growth Opportunity Areas) chosen by SANDAG staff from CTP
- 20 of those had at least 100 trip records in household survey
- Comparison of trip reduction *percentages* between these sites and MXD model
- Counts not possible (too big)

MXD Study – SANDAG SGOAs

- Collected the local data simultaneously, under the same methodology.
- Calculated the same "D" variables and estimated the regression equations.

MXD Model Validation – SGOAs



Site Selection – Counted Sites

- Visited 30+ sites
- Sites were initially selected based on input from SANDAG staff, member agencies, and Project Team
- Final Sites chosen based on
 - o sufficient mix of land uses
 - $\circ~$ feasibility of count data collection



Site #1 - Rio Vista Trolley Station Promenade San Diego



Site #2 – La Mesa Village Plaza

La Mesa



Site #3 - Uptown District San Diego



Site #4 - Morena/Linda Vista Trolley Station San Diego



Site #5 - Hazard Center San Diego



Site #6 – Otay Ranch Heritage Town Center Chula Vista

MXD Model Validation – Counted Sites



MXD Model Validation – Counted Sites

Site	Raw Trips	MXD Model Trips	Counts
Rio Vista	6,689	5,538	5,307
La Mesa Village Plaza	5,681	4,539	4,280
Uptown Center	20,214	17,097	16,886
Morena Linda Vista	6,375	5,251	4,712
Hazard Center	15,051	13,214	11,644
Otay Ranch	10,505	9,730	7,935

Note: MXD model volume somewhat higher than count at all sites

Conclusions: SANDAG Smart Growth Trip Generation Tool

- National MXD Equations match up well with San Diego empirical data
- Model estimates to HH survey comparisons show MXD model is valid but somewhat conservative
- Model estimates to counted sites comparisons also show MXD model is valid
- Ready for use on smart growth traffic analyses

Next Training – VMT FORECASTING

VMT = Volume x Distance or Trips x Trip Length





