CAMBRIDGE SYSTEMATICS



Aimsun Model Review Training Day 1: DTA Overview Caltrans On-Call Traffic Simulation Training

presented to Caltrans District 7



presented by

Cambridge Systematics & Aimsun

Keir Opie

Laura Torres

DTA Overview





What is DTA?

DTA is NOT mesoscopic

Best defined as Dynamic vs Static Assignment

Key characteristics of DTA:

- » Origin-Destination travel demands are inputs
- » Route choice is sensitive to dynamic congestion conditions
- » Modeled travel times are time-dependent
 - Typically 5 or 15 minute resolution
- » Network is truly capacity constrained
 - Both for links and for turns
- » Excess demand creates queuing





What is DTA?

- Well suited for evaluating operational strategies that are likely to induce a *temporal or spatial pattern shift* of traffic among different roadway facilities at a corridor and network-wide level
- Allows for more realistic estimation of travel behavior and congestion conditions from various demand and/or supply changes and interactions
- Suitable for analyses involving heavy levels of congestion, incidents, construction zones, ATDM strategies, ICM strategies, ITS, managed lanes, congestion pricing, etc.





What is DTA?

- May be incorporated into macroscopic, mesoscopic and/or microscopic simulation models
- Often involves a combination of model types representing Multi-Resolution Modeling (MRM)
- With updated information regarding congestion, network conditions, or other information received during the analysis period, simulated vehicles may consider:
 - » choosing alternative routes
 - » changing start times for a trip
 - » changing modes for a trip





DTA Modeling Considerations



DTA vs STA: Modeling Congestion



STA: Modeling Congestion

50 – 70 mph	-			
30 – 50 mph	-			
15 – 30 mph	-			
0 to 15 mph		Lanes: 3 Volume: 4317 veh/hr Capacity: 6000 veh/hr V/C: 0.72	Lanes: 3 Volume: 4317 veh/hr Capacity: 6000 veh/hr V/C 0.72	Lanes: 2 Volume: 4317 veh/hr Capacity: 4000 veh/hr V/C: 1.08
	6:00 – 6:15			
eh/hr	6:15 – 6:30			
	6:30 - 6:45			
× ~	6:45 – 7:00			
431	7:00 – 7:15			
ate:	7:15 – 7:30			
× R	7:30 – 7:45			
verage Flov	7:45 – 8:00			
	8:00 – 8:15			
	8:15 – 8:30			
Ŕ	8:30 - 8:45			
	8:45 – 9:00			



DTA: Modeling Congestion



STA Identifies Travel Patterns Inherently Consistent with <u>Average</u> Congestion

Since congestion is represented over the period with an average value, traveler behavior is not sensitive to congestion dynamics



DTA Identifies Travel Patterns Inherently Consistent with Congestion Dynamics

DTA is a technique that allows the analyst to:

- » Model long-term traveler adaptation to experienced (learned) congestion dynamics, AND
- » Accurately model within-day and with-in period congestion dynamics



Time Dependent Travel Times

Instantaneous vs Experience Travel Times

Instantaneous:
» STA methods



Experienced
» DTA model methods:

Figure 2.4: Experienced travel-time versus instantaneous travel-time determination

Source: DTA Primer, TRB





When to Use DTA?





DTA on the Analysis Spectrum:



Caltrans

Criteria for Selecting a DTA Analysis Framework



Source: FHWA Traffic Analysis Toolbox Volume II





Good Candidates for DTA Analysis

- Bottleneck removal studies
- Active Transportation and Demand Management (ATDM) strategies
- Integrated Corridor Management (ICM) strategies
- Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) strategies
- Operational strategies
- Demand management strategies
- Additional capacity in existing oversaturated conditions
- Downtown traffic management and street configurations





Good Candidates for DTA Analysis

- Incident management response scenarios
- Evacuation or Emergency Management Modeling
- Special events
- Work zone impacts and construction diversion short term
- Work zone impacts and construction diversion long term
- Managed lanes
- Dynamic tolling or congestion pricing projects
- Linking ongoing traditional demand and microscopic modeling





DTA Flavors

Link Based Capacity

- » Can be less computationally intensive (somewhat)
- » Can be more regionally focused

Lane Base Capacity

- » Can model special lanes
- » Can better model accidents and lane blockages
- » Can better model weave, merges, diverges





DTA in a MRM Framework





Multi-Resolution Modeling Framework







MRM Frameworks







MRM Framework Example: NYCDOT Manhattan Traffic Model



DTA Technical Discussions





An Average Day Rarely Occurs: Demand

- Variations in traffic conditions (recurring congestion)
 - » Day of week
 - » Seasonal







An Average Day Rarely Occurs: Accidents

Non-recurring Congestion

- » Accidents & Incidents
 - Severity
 - Clearance Time
 - Blockages
- » Special events
- » Weather



I-394 ICM Corridor

Source: ICM Analysis, Modeling, and Simulation Guide, Traffic Analysis Toolbox Vol XIII





DTA Equilibrium

Wardrop's User-Equilibrium:

In a model network with many possible routes for <u>each O-D</u> <u>pair</u>, all used routes have equal and lowest <u>travel time</u> (generalized cost). No user may lower their travel time (generalized costs) by unilaterally changing to a different route

Time Dependent Dynamic User Equilibrium (DUE)

In a network with many O-D Zones and in a specific time period, for each O-D pair and departure time increment, all used routes have equal and lowest experienced travel time (generalized costs) and no user may lower their experienced travel time (generalized cost) through unilateral action





Static User Equilibrium (UE)







Dynamic User Equilibrium (DUE)











Equilibrium DTA Algorithm



Source: DTA Primer, with permission from UA.

^a Chiu, Bottom et al. 2011.





DUE Convergence Criteria

Relative Gap (usually)

» Calculated in a similar manner to SUE

 $rel_{gap} = \frac{\sum_{t} \sum_{i \in I} \left(\sum_{k \in K_i} f_k^t \tau_k^t \right) - \sum_{t} \sum_{i \in I} d_i^t u_i^t}{\sum_{t} \sum_{i \in I} d_i^t u_i^t}$

Where

Caltrans

- T = set of all departure time intervals
- $t = \text{departure time interval}, t \in T$
- I = set of all origin-destination trip pairs
- i = origin-destination trip pair, $i \in I$
- K_i = set of all used routes for origin-destination pair i
- k = used route for origin-destination pair $i, k \in K_i$
- f_k^t = flow from used route k at departure time interval t
- $k^{t} = experienced travel time on used route k at departure time interval t$
 - = total flow from origin-destination pair *i* at departure time interval *t*



DUE Convergence Example



DUE Convergence Complexities

- Dynamic DUE Convergence in Theory
- Computational needs

32

Earlier slices impacts convergence of later slices



Equilibrium vs Non-Equilibrium DTA

Equilibrium Appropriate

- » Recurring, long-term conditions
- » Drivers have chance to learn network-wide traffic patterns
- » Daily commute, long term work zones
- » Good wide-spread dissemination of real time travel information
- Non-equilibrium Approach
 - » Short term or uncommon conditions
 - » Lane or road closures, special events, short term work zones
 - » Poor distribution of travel time information
 - » Habitual drivers insensitive to congestion





Traditional One-Shot Simulation





Source: DTA Primer, with permission from UA.





Non-equilibrium DTA Simulation



Source: DTA Primer, with permission from UA.





DTA Modeling with a Mixture of Equilibrium and Non-Equilibrium Methods

- Both methods can be used in a single model
- Apply a mixture of each
 - » DUE: Learned habitual path drivers
 - » One-shot: Responsive to En-route travel time updates, ATIS feedback, DMS information, ATDM strategies, etc. for congestion or incidents

Depending on platform:

- » 80 % DUE path based don't deviate from habitual paths
- » 20 % initial DUE, updates periodically with SRC in a one-shot





Mixture of DUE and One-shot Simulation



Caltrans

Calibration Complexities

Variables:

- » Route Choice
- » O-D Patterns
- » Behavior Parameters
- » Operation Performance
- Runtimes & Resources

Gridlock



